2	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/608,866	BIAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Holly Rickman	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Holly Rickman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Marlin Knight</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 Prior art documents discussed: Abarra et al. (US 6613460) Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Holly Chil		,
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner indicated that claim 1 was not allowable over Abarra et al. but all other claims were allowable. Mr. Knight agreed to the deletion of the term "nanocrystalline" from claim 1 to distinguish over Abarra et al which teaches the use of a crystalline CrTiAl layer...